

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,002	12/20/2001	Youngmin Kim	TI-31160	2425	
23494 7	590 03/27/2003				
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			EXAMINER		
	P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			FÉNTY, JESSE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/028,002	KIM ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jesse A. Fenty	2815		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	<u>anuary 2003</u> .			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/028,002

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-8 in Paper No. 4 is 1.

acknowledged.

2. Claim 9 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being

drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was

made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every

feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the NMOS transistors in P wells,

PMOS transistors in N wells, the emitter region which has a doping profile which combines said

P wells with P+ source diffusions, and other claim language must be shown or the feature(s)

canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office

action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held

in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 2, 3, 5 and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a

multiple dependent claim must refer to a preceding claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Application/Control Number: 10/028,002 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not expressly disclose the embodiments comprising PMOS and NMOS transistors, P+ source diffusions, and other specifics of the claimed Bi-CMOS structure that would allow one skilled in the art to understand the totality of the invention

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In re claims 1 and 7, the limitation, "near-junction dopants ... two to one" is vague and indefinite.

•

Application/Control Number: 10/028,002 Page 4

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomassetti (U.S. Patent No. 5,060,044) in view of Kosaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,031,019).

In re claims 1, 4 and 7, as best understood, Tomassetti discloses a semiconductor device, comprising:

NMOS transistors in P wells (24);

PMOS transistors in N wells (28);

An NPN bipolar transistor having:

An emitter diffusion (36) which has a doping profile which combines said N-wells with N+ source regions of said NMOS transistors;

A base diffusion (38) at least partly underlies said emitter diffusion, and which has a doping profile which is at least partly the same as said P-wells;

A collector diffusion which at least partly underlies said base diffusion, and which has a doping profile which is at least partly the same as said blanket n-type diffusion;

Said emitter and base diffusions jointly defining an emitter/base ration of near-junction dopants, measured at 75% and 125% o the emitter-base junction depth which is greater than two to one.

Art Unit: 2815

Tomassetti does not expressly disclose the bipolar transistor being a PNP configuration, or the PNP transistor being formed in concert with a PMOS transistor. Kosaka (Fig. 7) discloses a PNP lateral transistor disposed as part of the PMOS transistor in the well (4d). To provide the device of Tomasetti with the reversal of conductivity type, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art. The reversal of material conductivity and potential is obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Thus, to reverse the material conductivity, creating the type of lateral PNP device disclosed by Kosaka, would have been obvious to one skilled in the art for the purpose, for example, of creating greater flexibility of device uses within a substrate.

In re claims 2, 5 and 8, Tomasetti in view of Konaka discloses the devices of claims 1, 4 and 7, wherein said emitter diffusion further comprises a P+ diffusion which is also implanted into the sources of said PMOS transistors.

In re claims 3 and 6, Tomasetti in view of Konaka discloses the devices of claims 1 and 4, further comprising a blanket P-type diffusion component (Tomassetti; 10) having a peak concentration depth more than twice that of said p-well.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesse A. Fenty whose telephone number is 703-308-8137. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9 1st Fri. Off.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-746-3892 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Jesse A. Fenty Examiner Art Unit 2815

March 20, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800